

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,346	ROUSSOS, GEORGE
	Examiner D. S. Nakarani	Art Unit 1794

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ms. Jennifer L. Skord, Attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 January 2008

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18, 20 and 31-35

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Skord agreed to amend claims by Examiner's Amendment to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. For the details of the agreement, see Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 - 1/25/2008

(Examiner/~~Att~~ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)